

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY, DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/623,304	02/21/2001	Christopher Silvia	018512-00041	3840	
7	2590 06/28/200				
Annette Parent			EXAMINER		
Townsend & Townsend & Crew 8th Floor			BUNNER, BRIDGET E		
Two Embarcadero Center San Francisco, CA 94111-3834			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Ź			1647 DATE MAILED: 06/28/2002	14	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•								
		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/623,30)4	SILVIA ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Bridget E.		1647				
Period fo	Th MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the provision of the provi	I. 1.136(a). In no ever eply within the statu od will apply and will ute, cause the appl	ent, however, may a reply be ti utory minimum of thirty (30) da Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror ication to become ABANDON	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23	3 April 2002 .						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ 1	This action is	non-final.					
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the claims							
• _	Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are pending in the application	on.						
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)🖂	Claim(s) 1-35 are subject to restriction and/o	or election req	uirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9) 🔲	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acc		•					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to							
11)[_]	The proposed drawing correction filed on			oved by the Examiner.				
40\□	If approved, corrected drawings are required in r	• •	fice action.					
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	=xaminer.						
	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	ign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	-1-1						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 							
* 9	application from the International E ee the attached detailed Office action for a lis	Bureau (PCT I	Rule 17.2(a)).	_				
14) 🗌 A	acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	stic priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)).			
) The translation of the foreign language packnowledgment is made of a claim for dome							
Attachmen		·						
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·		y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/623,304

Art Unit: 1647

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-9, drawn to an isolated nucleic acid encoding a peptide monomer, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1
 - II. Claims 10-13, drawn to an isolated polypeptide monomer, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
 - III. Claims 14-15, drawn to an antibody that selectively binds to a polypeptide monomer, classified in class 530, subclass 387.1.
 - IV. Claims 18-23, drawn to a method of identifying a compound that modulates ion flux through an inward rectifier potassium channel, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
 - V. Claims 24-26, drawn to a method of detecting the presence of human Kir5.1 in mammalian tissue, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.
 - VI. Claims 27-30, drawn to a method of screening for mutations of human Kir5.1 genes in a computer system, classified in class 702, subclass 20.
 - VII. Claims 31-34, drawn to a method for identifying a three-dimensional structure of Kir5.1 polypeptides, classified in class 702, subclass 20.
 - VIII. Claim 35, drawn to a computer readable substrate comprising the three dimensional structure of a polypeptide, classified in class 702, subclass 20.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

a. Although there are no provisions under the section for "Relationship of Inventions" in M.P.E.P. § 806.05 for inventive groups that are directed to different products, restriction is deemed to be proper because these products constitute patentably distinct inventions for the following reasons. Groups I-III and VIII are directed to products that are distinct both physically and functionally, are not required one for the other, and are therefore patentably distinct. Further, the protein of Group II can be prepared by processes which are materially different from recombinant DNA expression of Group I, such as by chemical

Application/Control Number: 09/623,304

Art Unit: 1647

synthesis, or by isolation and purification from natural sources. Additionally, the DNA of Group I can be used other than to make the protein of Group II, such in gene therapy or as a probe in nucleic acid hybridization assays. The protein of Group II can be used in materially different methods other than to make the antibody of Group III, such as in therapeutic or diagnostic methods (e.g., in screening). Finally, although the antibody of Group III can be used to obtain the DNA of Group I, it can also be used in materially different methods, such as in various diagnostic (e.g., as a probe in immunoassays or immunochromatography), or therapeutic methods. The computer readable substrate of Group VIII that comprises the three dimensional structure of a polypeptide has a different function than the products of Groups I-III. The products of Groups I-III have defined physical and functional characteristics, unlike the substrate of Group VIII.

b. Similarly, although there are no provisions under the section for "Relationship of Inventions" in M.P.E.P. § 806.05 for inventive groups that are directed to different methods, restriction is deemed to be proper because these methods constitute patentably distinct inventions for the following reasons. Inventions IV-VII are different methods because they require different ingredients, process steps, and endpoints. Groups IV-VII are different methods requiring different method steps, wherein each is not required, one for another. For example, Invention IV requires search and consideration of identification of a compound that modulates ion flux through an inward rectifier potassium channel by contacting the compound with a euakryotic host expressing a polypeptide monomer and determining the functional effect of the compound on the cell, which is not required by the other inventions. Invention V requires search and consideration of detection of human Kir5.1 in mammalian tissue by isolating a sample, contacting the sample with a Kir5.1-specific reagent, and detecting the level of Kir5.1-specific reagent, which is not required by the other inventions. Invention VI requires search and consideration of screening for mutations of human Kir5.1 genes in a computer system, which is not required by the other

Page 3

Art Unit: 1647

inventions. Invention VII requires search and consideration of identifying a three-dimensional structure of Kir5.1 polypeptides in a computer system, which is not required by the other inventions.

- c. Inventions I and IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the product claimed can be used in materially different processes, such as DNA purification or gene therapy.
- d. Inventions II and V are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the product claimed can be used in materially different assays, such as in therapeutic methods or as an antigen for the production of antibodies.
- e. Inventions I and V-VII are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, the different inventions of Groups I and V-VII are unrelated product and methods, wherein each is not required, one for another. For example, the claimed methods of Inventions V-VII do not recite the use or production of the nucleic acid molecule of Invention I.
- f. Inventions II and IV, VI, VII are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have

Application/Control Number: 09/623,304

Art Unit: 1647

different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, the different inventions of Groups II and IV, VI, and VII are unrelated product and methods, wherein each is not required, one for another. For example, the claimed methods of Inventions IV, VI, and VII do not recite the use or production of the polypeptide of Inventions II.

Page 5

- g. Inventions III and IV-VII are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, the different inventions of Groups III and IV-VII are unrelated product and methods, wherein each is not required, one for another. For example, the claimed methods of Inventions IV-VII do not recite the use or production of the antibody of Inventions III.
- h. Inventions VIII and IV-VII are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, the different inventions of Groups VIII and IV-VII are unrelated product and methods, wherein each is not required, one for another. For example, the claimed methods of Inventions IV-VII do not recite the use or production of the computer readable substrate of Inventions VIII.
- 2. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their separate search requirements, different classification, and recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 3. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Page 6

A method of detecting human Kir5.1 in tissue wherein the Kir5.1-specific reagent that selectively associates with human Kir5.1 is:

- a. Kir5.1 specific antibodies
- b. Kir5.1 specific oligonucleotide primers
- c. Kir5.1 nucleic acid probes

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-23 and 26-35 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 1647

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

If Applicant selects Invention V, one species from the Kir5.1-specific reagent group must be chosen to be fully responsive.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bridget E. Bunner whose telephone number is (703) 305-7148. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz can be reached on (703) 308-4623. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4242 for regular communications and (703) 308-4242 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

BEB Art Unit 1647

June 17, 2002

Clyabeth C- Tomme

ELIZABETH KEMMERER

PRIMARY EXAMINER